

20: 3-4 (2020) 118-127

### Pavan Kumar Dhotre\*, Srinivasa Venkateshappa Chikkol

Department of Mechanical Engineering, GM Institute of Technology, Visvesvaraya Technological University, Belagavi, India \* Corresponding author. E-mail: pavankumar-gmitrs@gmit.ac.in

Received (Otrzymano) 18.10.2020

### FREE VIBRATION STUDIES ON SKEW SANDWICH PLATES

The present work focuses on determining the fundamental frequencies of skew sandwich plates with face sheets considered to be classical thin plates, which are made of a graphite-epoxy material and an orthotropic core made of glass reinforcedepoxy using different boundary conditions. The fundamental frequencies were obtained using finite elements, which are validated with available literature results. The effects of the skew angle, ratio of the length to total thickness of the sandwich plate, and ratio of the thickness of the core to the face sheet on the fundamental frequency of skew sandwich plates were obtained. In addition, the effect of parameters such as the number of layers in the face sheet, the laminate stacking sequence and the fiber orientation angle on the fundamental frequencies of laminated skew sandwich plates was also ascertained. It was found that the CQUAD8 element yields better results than the CQUAD4 element in the present study. The fundamental frequencies were a large number of layers in the face sheet.

Keywords: fundamental frequency, non-dimensional frequency parameter, skew sandwich plate, skew angle, antisymmetric laminate, fiber orientation angle

### Abbreviations:

а	_	length of the sandwich plate [mm]
b	_	width of the sandwich plate [mm]
t <sub>c</sub>	_	core thickness [mm]
t <sub>f</sub>	_	face sheet thickness [mm]
h	_	total thickness of the sandwich plate [mm]
E	_	Young's modulus [GPa]
G <sub>ij</sub>	_	rigidity modulus [GPa]
v	_	Poisson's ratio
ρ	_	density [kg/m <sup>3</sup> ]
S-S-S-S	_	all sides simply supported
C-C-C-C	_	all sides clamped
С	_	core
α	_	skew angle in degrees
ω	_	circular frequency [rad/s]

### INTRODUCTION

Currently, skew sandwich plates are frequently used in numerous areas like aeronautics, automobile, civil engineering, and in most structural applications. In skew sandwich plates, the effect of shear deformation is considerably more as compared to laminated composite skew plates, which was the reason behind the widespread applications of such plates. Moreover, skew sandwich plates have less weight, greater stiffness, greater structural efficiency, and greater durability. Due to these superior features over composite or layered structures, sandwich plates have attracted much attention from researchers for more than two decades. One of the fundamental studies of sandwich structures is free vibration response, which has a key role in designing sandwich structures that may be subjected to dynamic loading in practical applications. Many theories, numerical methods and finite element methods have been proposed to accurately predict and optimize the obtained free vibration responses. Literature related to the present work is discussed below.

Nine-node isoparametric finite elements used for the flexural and vibration analysis of composite sandwich plates based on Mindlin plate theory accounting for transverse normal and shear deformations of both the face sheet and orthotropic core is one of the works in the same area [1]. As the sandwich plate contains a stiff face sheet and a low density core, it exhibits displacement discontinuity at the interfaces. To simulate this, in the finite element method, an efficient six-noded triangular element based on refined plate theory was developed adopting  $C^1$  continuity of the transverse displacement at the element interfaces [2]. In sandwich plates, material anisotropy and the number of layers demand the inclusion of more independent constants in the equation of motion, and more computational time required to solve the equation of motion. To overcome this deficiency, a higher-order layer-wise C° continuous isoparametric finite element was developed, enforcing continuity of the interlaminar displacement [3]. The C° isoparametric finite element modeled using higherorder shear deformation theory implements a realistic nonlinear variation of displacements through the laminate thickness, and eliminates the use of shear correction coefficients or interlaminar displacement continuity functions [4]. Two new C° assumed strain finite element formulations based on Reddy's higher-order theory [5] and the C° finite element model for flexural and free vibration studies of laminated soft core skew sandwich plates based on higher-order zigzag theory (HOZT) [6] were presented. For moderately thick and thin sandwich plates, third-order zigzag theory was used to develop an improved four-node discrete Kirchhoff quadrilateral element [7]. The harmonic quadrature element method was presented for free vibration analysis of soft-core sandwich panels with general boundary conditions [8]. The vibration parameters of sandwich plates were predicted by the spline finite strip method [9]. A numerical method was used to extract the fundamental frequencies of simply supported and clamped isotropic and skew sandwich plates with an orthotropic core and laminated faces [10]. More articles dealing with finite element methods for sandwich plates were reviewed in [11].

The present study focuses on the free vibration studies of laminated skew sandwich plates with an orthotropic core adopting simply supported and clamped boundary conditions. The face sheet is made up of a graphite/epoxy reinforced laminated composite and a heavy core considered to be orthotropic. The main goal of the present work is to study the effect of the number of layers in the face sheet, the ratio of length to total thickness, the ratio of thickness of core to face sheet, the effect of fiber orientation, the effect of the laminate sequence, the effect of the boundary conditions, the effect of the skew angle on the free vibration response of the sandwich plate. The paper is organized as follows: Firstly, convergence of the results obtained by both CQUAD4 and CQUAD8 elements is studied for the free vibration response of the sandwich plate. Using converged element density, validation of the results obtained by the present method to those available in the literature is compared. By adopting the mechanical properties as mentioned by Ajay et al. [4] for both the GFRPC (graphite fiber reinforced polymer composites) face sheets and the orthotropic (heavy) core, finally a numerical study is made to characterize the effect of different geometric parameters, boundary conditions and skew angles.

### CONVERGENCE AND VALIDATION

#### Convergence

The skew sandwich plate is modeled with aluminum plate as the face sheet and aluminum honeycomb as core. The material properties, for the face sheets E = 68.948 GPa, G = 25.924 GPa, v = 0.33,  $\rho = 2768.0$  kg/m<sup>3</sup> and for the core  $G_{23} = 0.05171$  GPa,  $G_{13} = 0.13445$  GPa,  $\rho = 121.83$  kg/m<sup>3</sup> are used [12].

The geometrical representation of the sandwich plate is as shown in Figure 1. The skewed sandwich plate with global and local coordinate systems is as shown in Figure 2. The displacement boundary conditions cannot be applied directly due to inclination of the displacements to the skew edges. To overcome this, a local coordinate system (x', y') normal and tangential to the skew edges is preferred.

The total elements in the plate model are optimized to obtain exact and consistent values. Consequently, it is essential to analyze the convergence of the values. The convergence was made on simply supported and clamped skew sandwich plates having an aspect ratio (a/b = 1), length to thickness ratio (a/h = 10) and thickness of core to facing ratio  $(t_c/t_f = 10)$  for skew angles  $0^\circ$ ,  $15^\circ$ ,  $30^\circ$  and  $45^\circ$  using both elements. The results from the convergence study are presented in Table 1, which were extracted from previous work [10].



Fig. 1. Geometry details of sandwich plate ( $0^0$  skew angle)



b. Finite element model



c. 3-dimensional finite element model



Fig. 2. Finite element mesh models of flat skew (30°) sandwich plate

	Element	S-S-S-S				C-C-C-C			
Element Density		Skew Angle (α°)				Skew Angle (a°)			
Density	Type	<b>0</b> °	15°	<b>30</b> °	45°	<b>0</b> °	15°	<b>30</b> °	45°
Present	CQUAD 4	2493.9910	2570.4620	2827.0370	3369.7240	3017.5620	3081.5220	3300.5110	3779.8480
(10 x 10)	CQUAD 8	2519.0970	2596.4920	2856.2510	3405.9890	3052.6520	3117.3970	3339.1410	3824.8110
Present	CQUAD 4	2507.1890	2584.1460	2842.3580	3388.6750	3036.2910	3100.6410	3320.9820	3803.5300
(14 x 14)	CQUAD 8	2520.0180	2597.4460	2857.2710	3407.1300	3054.2610	3119.0140	3340.7600	3826.5090
Present	CQUAD 4	2512.6310	2589.7870	2848.6990	3396.4650	3044.0390	3108.5500	3329.4870	3813.3040
(18 x 18)	CQUAD 8	2520.3950	2597.8360	2857.7200	3407.6070	3054.9250	3119.6800	3341.4660	3827.2070
Present	CQUAD 4	2515.3870	2592.6440	2851.8770	3400.4040	3047.9690	3112.5610	3333.7560	3818.2570
(22 x 22)	CQUAD 8	2520.5860	2598.0340	2857.9010	3407.8510	3055.2610	3120.0170	3341.7770	3827.5620
Present	CQUAD 4	2516.9730	2594.2880	2853.7180	3402.6670	3050.2320	3114.8710	3336.2300	3821.1070
(26 x 26)	CQUAD 8	2520.6960	2598.1470	2858.0400	3407.9930	3055.4550	3120.2110	3341.9750	3827.7670
Present	CQUAD 4	2517.9680	2595.3190	2854.8720	3404.0860	3051.6530	3116.3220	3337.7830	3822.8940
(30 x 30)	CQUAD 8	2520.7650	2598.2180	2858.1190	3408.0820	3055.5770	3120.3330	3342.0990	3827.8960
Present	CQUAD 4	2518.6340	2596.0090	2855.6660	3405.0330	3052.6030	3117.2910	3338.8520	3824.0900
(34 x 34)	CQUAD 8	2520.8110	2598.2660	2858.1930	3408.1430	3055.6580	3120.4150	3342.2130	3827.9820
Present	CQUAD 4	2519.1000	2596.4920	2856.2070	3405.6980	3053.2700	3117.9710	3339.5810	3824.9270
(38 x 38)	CQUAD 8	2520.8430	2598.2990	2858.2300	3408.1860	3055.7150	3120.4720	3342.2710	3828.0430

TABLE 1. Convergence study for fundamental natural frequencies [Hz] of skew sandwich plates (a/b = 1, a/h = 10,  $t_c/t_f = 10$ )

Dhotre Pavan Kumar, Srinivasa C.V. [10]

### Validation

Validation of the results from the elements used in the present study is made by comparing to the available literature values. For a clamped skew sandwich plate, the material constants employed are similar to those used in [9]. The comparisons of the results shown in Table 2 are in good agreement with [13] for different layup sequences. The results obtained from the current study are higher due to exclusion of the kinematic energy of the adhesive layer in finite element modeling.

Moreover, the material constants referred to for simply supported sandwich skew plates with different layup sequences and for different skew angles, are those used by Park et al. [12]. The results displayed in Table 3 obtained by the present method are in close agreement with those values reported in literature [14].

TABLE 2. Fundamental	frequencies [H	Hz] of clamped	laminated composite	sandwich plates wit	h orthotropic core
----------------------	----------------	----------------	---------------------	---------------------	--------------------

Layup	Authors	Mode Number						
Sequence	Authors	1	2	3	4	5		
	Yuan and Dawe [9]	708.0000	1153.0000	1423.0000	1629.0000	1999.0000		
300/300/300	LEE (1966) [3]	707.0000	1150.0000	1424.0000	1627.0000	1990.0000		
300/300/300	Present CQUAD4	762.9000*	1240.6000*	1527.7000*	1753.7000*	2131.0000*		
500/500/500	Present CQUAD8	763.6000*	1241.9000*	1529.9000*	1756.7000*	2135.0000*		
	Yuan and Dawe [9]	692.3000	1191.0000	1366.0000	1720.0000	1954.0000		
00/00/00 /C/ 00/00/00	LEE (1966) [3]	691.0000	1200.0000	1353.0000	1715.0000	1997.0000		
	Present CQUAD4	746.8000*	1296.8000*	1454.0000*	1846.1000*	2128.9000*		
00/00/00	Present CQUAD8	747.3000*	1298.3000*	1455.5000*	1850.6000*	2132.3000*		
	Yuan and Dawe [9]	559.1000	1001.0000	1088.0000	1484.0000	1615.0000		
300/-300/300	LEE (1966) [3]	558.0000	997.0000	1090.0000	1478.0000	1604.0000		
7C/ 300/-300/300	Present CQUAD4	630.7000*	1124.0000*	1226.8000*	1662.4000*	1803.8000*		
200/200/200	Present CQUAD8	631.5000*	1125.8000*	1228.90000	1667.6000*	1807.5000*		
	Yuan and Dawe [9]	628.3000	1011.0000	1273.0000	1521.0000	1604.0000		
00/900/00	LEE (1966) [3]	628.0000	1007.0000	1272.0000	1517.0000	1593.0000		
00/900/00	Present CQUAD4	709.4000*	1137.0000*	1433.0000*	1708.9000*	1794.0000*		
00/200/00	Present CQUAD8	709.7000*	1138.1000*	1434.3000*	1712.3000*	1796.2000*		

\*Present values obtained excluding kinetic energy of adhesive layer

	· · · · · · ·	1 6 4 1		• . •	• • • • • • •	
INRIE & Fundamental 1	roanonoios I H	71 of cimply cupp	ortad laminatad aan	inacita cizaw condi	wich plotos with or	thatrania aara
TADLE J. FUTUATICITATI	I CUUCIIUICS III.		or leu fammaleu con	IDUSILE SKEW SAIIU	with Diates with or	

Layup	Anthony	Skew Angle (a°)				
Sequence	Authors	<b>0</b> °	15°	agle (α°)       30°       -       217.7630       194.3770       195.8480       198.8113       198.8503       -       209.3430       186.9801       188.7120       190.2696       190.3088       -       225.9660       201.7029       202.9450       207.1453       207.1840	45°	
	Yuan and Dawe [9]	152.5800	-	Angle (α°)     30°     2     217.7630     2     194.3770     0     195.8480     0     198.8113     0     198.813     0     198.8103     -     8     209.3430     5     186.9801     0     190.2696     3     190.3088     -     2     225.9660     5     201.7029     0     202.9450     7     207.1453     2     207.1840	-	
	Aiou Vumor Cora [4]	166.3086	Skew Aışle (α°)       15°     30°       -     -       177.6942     217.7630     3       161.7182     194.3770     3       161.7182     195.8480     3       161.690     195.8480     3       163.0240     198.8113     3       163.0580     198.8503     3       -     -     -       170.7568     209.3430     3       155.5495     186.9801     3       155.8880     190.2696     3       155.9213     190.3088     3       161.78775     201.7029     3       167.8775     201.7029     3       161.1840     202.9450     3       170.0157     207.1453     3	310.6456		
0°/90°	Ajay Kullar Gaig [4]	152.2992	161.7182	194.3770	267.3398	
/C/ 0°/90°	Voyiadjis [12]	150.9120	161.1690	195.8480	269.5720	
0770	Present CQUAD4	Skew Angle (α°)       0°     15°     30°     45°       152.5800     -     -     -       166.3086     177.6942     217.7630     310.64       152.2992     161.7182     194.3770     267.33       150.9120     161.1690     195.8480     269.57       152.3300     163.0240     198.8113     277.41       152.3602     163.0580     198.8503     277.46       145.9900     -     -     -       159.8275     170.7568     209.3430     299.37       146.5089     155.5495     186.9801     257.56       145.0002     155.1070     188.7120     260.12       145.6081     155.8280     190.2696     265.47       145.6081     155.9213     190.3088     265.53       159.3000     -     -     -       172.7237     184.5342     225.9660     321.42       158.0954     167.8775     201.7029     276.93       156.6980     161.1840     202.9450     278.61 <td>277.4106</td>	277.4106			
	Present CQUAD8	152.3602	163.0580	gle (α°)     30°     -     217.7630     194.3770     195.8480     198.8113     198.8503     -     209.3430     186.9801     188.7120     190.2696     190.3088     -     225.9660     201.7029     202.9450     207.1453     207.1840	277.4685	
	Yuan and Dawe [9]	145.9900	-	-	-	
	Aiou Vumor Cora [4]	159.8275	Skew Angle (a°)       0°     15°     30°     45°       1.5800     -     -     -       1.3086     177.6942     217.7630     310.6456       1.2992     161.7182     194.3770     267.3398       0.9120     161.1690     195.8480     269.5720       2.3300     163.0240     198.8113     277.4106       2.3602     163.0580     198.8503     277.4685       5.9900     -     -     -       2.8275     170.7568     209.3430     299.3778       5.5089     155.5495     186.9801     257.5617       5.0002     155.1070     188.7120     260.1220       5.6081     155.8880     190.2696     265.4776       5.6373     155.9213     190.3088     265.5364       0.3000     -     -     -       2.7237     184.5342     225.9660     321.4230       3.0954     167.8775     201.7029     276.9311       5.6980     161.1840     202.9450     278.6170			
0°/90°	Ajay Kullar Gaig [4]	146.5089	155.5495	186.9801	257.5617	
/C/ 90°/0°	Voyiadjis [12]	145.0002	155.1070	30°     -     42   217.7630     32   194.3770     90   195.8480     40   198.8113     30   198.8503     -   -     58   209.3430     95   186.9801     70   188.7120     30   190.2696     13   190.3088     -   -     42   225.9660     75   201.7029     40   202.9450     57   207.1453     92   207.1840	260.1220	
2010	Present CQUAD4	145.6081	155.8880		265.4776	
	Present CQUAD8	145.6373	155.9213		265.5364	
	Yuan and Dawe [9]	159.3000	-	-	-	
	Aiou Vumor Cora [4]	172.7237	IS&W Aligit (0 )       15°     30°     45°       0     -     -     -       6     177.6942     217.7630     310.6456       2     161.7182     194.3770     267.3398       0     161.1690     195.8480     269.5720       0     163.0240     198.8113     277.4106       2     163.0580     198.8503     277.4685       0     -     -     -       5     170.7568     209.3430     299.3778       9     155.5495     186.9801     257.5617       12     155.1070     188.7120     260.1220       11     155.8880     190.2696     265.4776       3     155.9213     190.3088     265.5364       0     -     -     -       7     184.5342     225.9660     321.4230       4     167.8775     201.7029     276.9311       50     161.1840     202.9450     278.6170       2     170.0157     207.1453     289.0373			
90°/0°	Ajay Kumai Galg [4]	158.0954	167.8775	gle (α°)       30°     45°       -     -       217.7630     310.6456       194.3770     267.3398       195.8480     269.5720       198.8113     277.4106       198.8503     277.4685       -     -       209.3430     299.3778       186.9801     257.5617       188.7120     260.1220       190.3088     265.5364       -     -       225.9660     321.4230       201.7029     276.9311       202.9450     278.6170       207.1453     289.0372       207.1840     289.0325	276.9311	
/C/ 0°/90°	Voyiadjis [12]	156.6980	161.1840	202.9450	278.6170	
0,70	Present CQUAD4	158.9292	170.0157	207.1453	289.0373	
	Present CQUAD8	158.9602	170.0502	SW Angle (a <sup>2</sup> )       30°       -       942       217.7630       182       194.3770       690       195.8480       240       198.8113       580       568       209.3430       495       486.9801       070       188.7120       880       190.2696       213       190.3088       -       342       225.9660       775       201.7029       840       202.9450       157       207.1453	289.0932	

The non-dimensional frequency parameters  $(K_f)$  of simply supported five-layered symmetric laminated composite skew sandwich plates with an orthotropic core are determined using Equation (1).

$$K_f = 100 \omega a \sqrt{(\rho / E_1)_f} \tag{1}$$

The material properties employed for the study are as mentioned by Chalak et al. [6]. The results are shown in Table 4, which proves the overestimation in the natural frequencies obtained by others and [15]. The overestimation is greater as the skew angle increases. The present method produces more converged and accurate results than other methods presented by other researchers.

# TABLE 4. Non dimensional frequency parameter $(K_f)$ of simply supported laminated composite skew sandwich plates with orthotropic core

Authors		Skew Angle (a)						
Auu	1018	0°	15°	<b>30</b> °	<b>45</b> °			
		9.8130	-	-	-			
	ZIGT FE	9.8200	-	-	-			
Kapuria and Kulkarni [7]		9.8240	-	-	-			
	3D ZIGT	9.8281	-	-	-			
	ZIGT	9.8300	-	-	-			
	Mesh 8x8	10.0510	-	-	-			
Chakrabarti and Sheikh	Mesh 12x 12	10.0520	-	-	-			
[2]	Mesh 16x16	10.0530	-	-	-			
Pavan and Srinivasa [10]	ТОТ	12.0880	-	-	-			
Chalak and Chakrabarti [7]	HOZIGT	9.8365	10.2467	11.6056	14.4349			
Present CQUAD4		9.2704	9.5546	10.5191	12.5896			
Present CQUAD8		9.2768	9.5612	10.5266	12.5988			

In Tables 1 to 4 it is observed that the CQUAD8 element gives more accurate results than the CQUAD4 element. From now, CQUAD8 is adopted in the work.

### NUMERICAL RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The present numerical study considers a variety of parameters such as the aspect ratio, ratio of length to thickness of sandwich plates, ratio of face sheet thickness to core thickness, skew angle and the boundary conditions of sandwich skew plates. The sandwich plates were modeled with a face sheet from a graphite/epoxy composite and a core with glass reinforced epoxy (heavy-orthotropic). Two types of edge conditions are used – simply supported and clamped; also the aspect ratio was kept constant at 1 (a/b = 1) throughout the study. The results from the numerical methods are extracted by adopting material properties for further study as mentioned by Ajay et al. [4]. Different geometric parameters are used for the numerical study and the discussion is as follows.

### Study on the effect of the number of layers in the face sheet (NL)

Five-layer, antisymmetric, cross-ply laminated sandwich plates with varying skew angles and numbers of layers in the face sheet for all sides simply supported and clamped edge conditions are considered. The stacking sequence and the different number of layers in the face sheet are shown in Figure 3. The ratio of core thickness to face sheet thickness ( $t_c/t_f = 10$ ) and the ratio of the length to total thickness of the sandwich plate (a/h = 10) are kept constant.





Fig. 3. Schematic representation of construction of sandwich plates with varying number of layers in face sheet and fiber angle in face sheet

The effect of the number of layers on the fundamental frequency is studied and the results are graphically presented in Figures 4 and 5 in  $K_f$  nondimensional form. The first five mode shapes are extracted in Table 5.



Fig. 4.  $K_f$  values for laminated simply supported antisymmetric cross-ply  $(0^{\circ}/90^{\circ}/C/0^{\circ}/90^{\circ})$  skew sandwich plates



Fig. 5.  $K_f$  values for laminated clamped antisymmetric (0°/90°/C/0°/90°) cross-ply skew sandwich plates

As the number of layers in the face sheet is increased,  $K_f$  initially increases up to four layers, after that the change is constant or negligible. An increase in the skew angle of the sandwich results in an increase in the  $K_f$  value. The  $K_f$  value is higher for the all sides clamped condition than all sides simply supported.





## Effect of the ratio of core thickness to face sheet thickness $(t_c/t_f)$

The fundamental frequencies are obtained for antisymmetric cross-ply, five-layer sandwich plates with simply supported and clamped boundary conditions by varying skew angle ( $\alpha^{\circ}$ ) and ratio ( $t_c/t_f$ ). Ratio (a/h = 10) is kept constant. The K<sub>f</sub> values are graphically presented in Figures 6 and 7. As ratio  $t_c/t_f$  is increased, the  $K_f$  value decreases considerably for a given skew angle. Furthermore, as the skew angle is increased, the  $K_f$  value increases for a given  $t_c/t_f$  ratio. The  $K_f$  value is higher for the all sides clamped condition than all sides simply supported.



Fig. 6.  $K_f v/s$  values of  $t_c/t_f$  ratio for laminated simply supported antisymmetric cross-ply (0°/90°/C/0°/90°) skew sandwich plates



Fig. 7. *K<sub>f</sub> v/s* values of *t<sub>c</sub>/t<sub>f</sub>* ratio for laminated clamped antisymmetric cross-ply (0°/90°/C/0°/90°) skew sandwich plates

### Effect of a/h ratio

The aspect ratio (a/b = 1) and the  $(t_c/t_f = 10)$  ratio are kept constant, only ratio a/h is varied. The results are obtained for antisymmetric cross-ply; five-layer simply supported and clamped boundary conditions for different skew angles. The  $K_f$  values are graphically pre-

sented in Figures 8 and 9. From the graph, it is evident that the  $K_f$  value decreases considerably when an increase in ratio (a/h) is made for a given skew angle. Furthermore, when skew angle is increased  $K_f$  increases for a given a/h ratio. It is always observed that  $K_f$  is higher for the all sides clamped condition than all sides simply supported.

#### Effect of laminate sequence

A symmetric angle-ply laminated skew sandwich plate is considered for the analysis. The aspect ratios (a/b = 1), (a/h = 10) and  $(t_c/t_f = 10)$  are kept constant, only the skew angle and fiber angle are varied. Both simply supported and clamped edge conditions are incorporated.



Fig. 8.  $K_f v/s$  values of a/h ratio for laminated simply supported antisymmetric cross-ply (0°/90°/C/0°/90°) skew sandwich plates



Fig. 9.  $K_f v/s$  values of a/h ratio for laminated clamped antisymmetric cross-ply (0°/90°/C/0°/90°) skew sandwich plates

### Symmetric three-layer angle ply skew sandwich plates

The results are obtained for symmetric three-layer simply supported and clamped boundary conditions. The  $K_f$  values are graphically presented in Figures 10 and 11.

Moreover, the first five mode shapes for a simply supported sandwich plate are presented in Table 6. It can be seen from the graph, for the  $0^{\circ}$  skew angle, that the K<sub>f</sub> increases with an increase in the fiber angle value.



Fig. 10.  $K_f$  values for laminated simply supported symmetric ( $\theta^{\circ}/C/\theta^{\circ}$ ) angle-ply skew sandwich plates





When the fiber angle is increased for skew angles  $15^{\circ}$ ,  $30^{\circ}$  and  $45^{\circ}$ , the  $K_f$  value initially decreases and then increases. The  $K_f$  value is higher for the all sides clamped condition than all sides simply.





### Symmetric five-layer angle-ply skew sandwich plates

The results are obtained for symmetric five-layer simply supported and clamped boundary conditions. The  $K_f$  values are graphically presented in Figures 12 and 13. First, five fundamental mode shapes are presented in Table 7.



Fig. 12.  $K_f$  values for laminated simply supported symmetric ( $\theta^{\circ}/-\theta^{\circ}/C/-\theta^{\circ}/C/$ ) angle-ply skew sandwich plates



Fig. 13.  $K_f$  values for laminated clamped symmetric  $(\theta^{\circ}/-\theta^{\circ}/C'_{-}-\theta^{\circ}/\theta^{\circ})$  angle-ply skew sandwich plates

From the graph the following observations are drawn: as the fiber orientation angle increases, the  $K_f$  value increases and reaches a maximum value or symmetric about 52.5°, then decreases for simply supported, and 50° for clamped boundary conditions. When the skew angle is increased, the  $K_f$  value also increases for a given fiber angle.  $K_f$  is higher for the all sides clamped condition than all sides simply supported.



TABLE 7. Mode shapes of symmetric five-layer  $(\theta^{\circ}/-\theta^{\circ}/C)/-\theta^{\circ}/\theta^{\circ})$  skew sandwich plates

Composites Theory and Practice 20: 3-4 (2020) All rights reserved

### CONCLUSIONS

Graphite fiber reinforced epoxy composite as the face sheet and glass fiber reinforced epoxy as the core material were configured for the skew sandwich plate. The free vibration study of laminated skew sandwich plates was conducted by the finite element method in conjunction with both simply supported and clamped edge conditions. The convergence study was made to find the optimum mesh size. Then, the results obtained by the present method were validated against literature values. Both CQUAD4 and CQUAD8 elements have good agreement with the available literature results. Nonetheless, the CQUAD8 element yields more accurate results than CQUAD4.

The CQUAD8 element was adopted for further numerical study. The effect of different geometric parameters, edge conditions, skew angles, ratio (a/h), ratio  $(t_c/t_f)$  on skew sandwich plates was evaluated. An increase in the  $K_t$  value was observed when the number of layers in the face sheet is increased.  $K_f$  initially increases up to 4 layers, after that the change is constant or negligible. When the skew angle was increased,  $K_f$  increases for the given tc/t<sub>f</sub> and a/h ratios. As the  $t_c/t_f$ and a/h ratios increased the  $K_f$  value decreases considerably for the given skew angle. For the three-layer symmetric laminated composite sandwich, the  $K_f$  value initially decreases then increases. What is more, for the five-layer symmetric it increases then decreases. A higher  $K_f$  value is observed for the all sides clamped condition than all sides simply supported.

Finally, future work can focus on conducting experimental studies to confirm the finite element results obtained by the present method. The present study may be extended with some more geometric parameters and edge conditions to simulate practically any conditions.

### Acknowledgments

The authors would like to thank the Management and Principal of the GM Institute of Technology, Davangere, Karnataka, for the kind encouragement and constant support provided.

### REFERENCES

 Lee L.J., Fan Y.J., Bending and vibration analysis of composite sandwich plates, Comput. Struct. 1996, 60(1), 103-112.

- [2] Chakrabarti A., Sheikh A.H., Vibration of laminate-faced sandwich plate by a new refined element, J. Aerosp. Eng. 2004, 17(3), 123-134.
- [3] Belarbi M.O., Tati A., Ounis H., Khechai A., On the free vibration analysis of laminated composite and sandwich plates: A layerwise finite element formulation, Lat. Am. J. Solids Struct 2017, 14, 2265-2290.
- [4] Ajay K.G., Rakesh K.K., Kant K., Free vibration of skew. fiber-reinforced composite and sandwich laminates using a shear deformable finite element model, J. Sandwich Struct. Mater. 2006, 8, 33-54.
- [5] Nayak A.K., Moy S.S.J., Shenoi R.A., Free vibration analysis of composite sandwich plates based on Reddy's higherorder theory, Composites, Part B 2002, 33, 505-519.
- [6] Chalak H.D., Anupam C., Abdul H.S., Mohammad A.I., C<sub>0</sub> FE model based on HOZT for the analysis of laminated soft-core skew sandwich plates: Bending and vibration, Appl. Math. Model 2014, 38, 1211-1223.
- [7] Kapuria S., Kulkarni S.D., Free vibration analysis of composite and sandwich plates using an improved discrete Kirchhoff quadrilateral element based on third-order zigzag theory, Comput. Mech. 2008, 42, 803-824.
- [8] Wang X., Liang X., Free vibration of soft-core sandwich panels with general boundary conditions by harmonic quadrature element method, Thin Walled Struct. 2017, 113, 253-261.
- [9] Yuan W.X., Dawe D.J., Free vibration of sandwich plates with laminated faces, Int. J. Numer. Meth. Engng 2002, 54, 195-217.
- [10] Pavan K., Srinivasa C.V., Free vibration studies on skew sandwich plates by FEM, International Conference on Computational Engineering and Material Science, IOP Conf. Ser.: Mater. Sci. Eng. 2020, 925, 012024.
- [11] Pavan K., Srinivasa C.V., On buckling and free vibration studies of sandwich plates and cylindrical shells: A review, J. Thermoplast, Compos. Mater. 2018, 33(5), 673-724.
- [12] Park T., Lee S.Y., Seo J.W., Voyiadjis G.Z., Structural dynamic behavior of skew sandwich plates with laminated composite faces, Composites 2008, Part B, 39, 316-326.
- [13] Kanematsu H.H., Hirano Y., Iyama H., Bending and vibration of CFRP-faced rectangular sandwich plates, Comp. Struct. 1988, 10, 145-163.
- [14] Ibrahim M., Rizk M.N.F., Farah A., Dynamic analysis of unbalanced anisotropic sandwich plates, J. Eng. Mech. Division. 1981, 107(2), 405-418.
- [15] Wang C.M., Ang K.K., Yang L., Free vibration of skew sandwich plates with laminated facings, J. Sound and Vib. 2000, 235(2), 317-340.